# Chia seed-based nutri bar: optimization, analysis and shelf life

# Jyoti Singh, Bhavna Sharma, Mokshi Madaan, Pooja Sharma, Tarandeep Kaur, Navjot Kaur, Inderdeep Kaur Bhamra, Sawinder Kaur and Prasad Rasane\*

Food Technology and Nutrition, School of Agriculture, Lovely Professional University, Phagwara 144 411, India

In this study, chia seeds were incorporated for the development of an optimized nutri bar. Different formulations containing 5%, 10%, 15% and 20% of chia seed flour were evaluated. The developed bar was analysed for its nutritional quality, sensory attributes, physiochemical properties and storage quality. The results revealed that the 10% incorporation of chia seed with protein content  $3.72 \pm 0.11\%$ , crude fibre  $10.52 \pm 0.08\%$ , ash 2.0%, crude fat  $3.52 \pm 0.19\%$ , carbohydrate  $51.00 \pm 0.24\%$  and antioxidant activity  $32.23 \pm 0.69\%$  inhibition was highly acceptable in terms of sensory attributes. The shelf life study revealed that the nutri bars when stored at a temperature of 10°C sealed in low density polyethylene bags tend to be more shelf stable. The gross energy of the chia seed nutri bar was calculated to be  $250.52 \pm 0.63\%$  kcal.

**Keywords:** Antioxidant, chlorogenic acid, diet supplement, energy, rapidly consumed.

CHIA (Salvia hispanica L.) is a herbaceous plant, cultivated annually, and indigenous to Southern Mexico and Northern Gautemala<sup>1</sup>. It has a high oil content (39%), is rich in polyunsaturated fatty acids, mainly omega-3 fatty acids (linolenic acid, 68) and omega-6 (linoleic acid, 12-21%) (ref. 2) and has protein content of 15-25% (ref. 3). Moreover, the fibres present, (18–30%) potentiate the use of chia in the production of functional foods<sup>4</sup> and the dietary fibre (over 30% of the total weight), both soluble and insoluble, which are important components of the human diet; and proteins of high biological value around 19% of the total weight<sup>5</sup>. In addition, the seed contains natural antioxidants such as phenolic glycoside-Q and K, chlorogenic acid, caffeic acid, quercetin and kaempferol<sup>6</sup> which protects consumers against adverse conditions, such as some cardiovascular diseases and few types of cancers; as well as supplies vitamins and minerals<sup>7</sup>

A non-cooked bar is substantially non-perishable, readily portable and can be rapidly consumed. To meet nutritional needs, fortified non-cooked bars were introduced to provide a portion of the daily requirement of minerals and vitamins<sup>8</sup>. Consumers demand and desire health foods, which are portable, convenient and proportioned. Often, many options that are minimally processed, rich in nutrients and also taste good are not available. The food bars are snacks of good sensory and nutritional characteristics due to their high carbohydrate, protein, lipid and mineral contents<sup>9</sup>. This can be recommended to pregnant and lactating women or women of child bearing age it is rich in calcium and iron. Nutri bars are a diet supplement and are mostly consumed by people who want to maintain their calorific needs<sup>10</sup>. There are various bars available in the market such as energy bar, snack bar, granola bar, protein bar and other nutri bars. Nutri bars were initially marketed to athletes as a source of energy. However, the growing luxury groups and healthconscious consumers increased the sales performance of nutri bars. Due to the growing consumer demand for natural, convenient and nutritious food products, there is a need to modify, innovate and improve the nutritive composition of nutri bars for increased health benefits<sup>11</sup>.

Therefore, in the present study, chia seed flour has been incorporated in the formulation of the nutri bar with ingredients like dates and sesame seeds. The aim of the study was supplementing chia seeds for its high PUFA, protein and dietary fibre content in the nuti bar and assessment of its nutritive quality, antioxidant capacity, functional properties like water and oil absorption capacity, sensory acceptability and shelf life.

# Materials and methods

# Materials

Dates, sesame seeds and chia seeds used for the preparation, and LDPE bags and cardboard boxes used for packaging of nutri bars were purchased from the local market in Jalandhar, Punjab, India. The chia seed nutri bar was prepared by modifying the method described by Ateequddin and Ingle<sup>9</sup> as shown in Figure 1. The different formulations of chia seed nutri bar were prepared as shown in Table 1.

# Methods

# Nutritional analysis of nutri bar

The nutri bar was analysed for its chemical composition (moisture, crude protein, crude fat, ash and crude fibre)

<sup>\*</sup>For correspondence. (e-mail: rasaneprasad@gmail.com)

1 able 1	. Formulat	Formulations of nutri bar incorporated with chila seeds powder					
		Treatments					
Ingredients	Control	CSN <sub>1</sub> (5%)	CSN <sub>2</sub> (10%)	CSN <sub>3</sub> (15%)	CSN <sub>4</sub> (20%)		
Dates (g)	100	95	90	85	80		
Chia seed powder (g)	-	5	10	15	20		
Sesame seeds (g)	5	5	5	5	5		

Energy left and affect the intervented with this and a second of



Figure 1. Process flow chart for the development of chia seed nutri bar.

using methods by AOAC<sup>12</sup>. Oven dry method (AOAC<sup>12</sup> method 977.11) was referred to calculate moisture content. Kjeldahl's method (AOAC method 955.04) was referred to analyse crude protein. Soxhlet method (AOAC<sup>12</sup> method 960.39) was used for crude fat determination. Dry ashing method (AOAC method 923.03) was used to determine ash content, and gravimetric method (AOAC<sup>12</sup> method 991.43) was used to examine crude fibre and the carbohydrate content was determined by the difference method<sup>13</sup>.

#### Gross energy value

The gross energy value of developed nutri bars were determined by using standard factors of 4, 9 and 3.75 kcal/g for protein, fat and carbohydrate respectively. The calculated energy values were summed up to give gross energy values<sup>14</sup>.

#### Mineral analysis

For sodium and potassium estimation, an aliquot of ash (0.01 mg/ml) solution was diluted so that it contains less

CURRENT SCIENCE, VOL. 118, NO. 9, 10 MAY 2020

than 10 ppm of sodium and potassium. Sufficient HCl was added so that the concentration of the acid is same as that in the standard solution. The diluted extract was atomized in a calibrated flame photometer with the wavelength dial set at 589 nm and transmittance set at 100% for the top standard solution of sodium and at the 768 nm and transmittance set at 100% for the top standard solution of potassium. The concentrations were noted from the standard curve by applying the following formulae (1) and (2)<sup>15</sup>

$$\operatorname{Sodium}\left(\frac{\mathrm{mg}}{100 \mathrm{g}}\right) = \frac{\begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{ppm} \text{ found from the standard} \\ \operatorname{curve} \times \operatorname{volume made up} \times \\ & \operatorname{dilution} \times 100 \end{pmatrix}}{\operatorname{Weight of sample} \times 1000}, \quad (1)$$

$$\operatorname{Potassium}\left(\frac{\mathrm{mg}}{100 \mathrm{g}}\right) = \frac{\begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{ppm} \text{ found from standard} \\ \operatorname{curve} \times \operatorname{volume made up} \times \\ & \operatorname{dilution} \times 100 \end{pmatrix}}{\operatorname{Weight of sample} \times 1000}. \quad (2)$$

# Physical properties of nutri bar

The water absorption capacity was determined by taking 1 g of sample mixed in 15 ml of distilled water. The mixture was transferred to a 25 ml centrifuge tube and agitated on a votex mixer for 2 min and then centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 20 min. The supernatant was discarded and the tube was weighed and applied on eq. (3). Similarly, for oil absorption capacity, water was replaced by oil, the same procedure was followed and values were marked in eq. (4)<sup>16</sup>

$$WAC = \frac{\begin{pmatrix} Weight of centrifuge tube + \\ weight of sediment - weight of empty tube \end{pmatrix}}{Weight of sample},$$

$$OAC = \frac{\begin{pmatrix} Weight of centrifuge tube + \\ weight of sediment - weight of empty tube \end{pmatrix}}{Weight of sample}.$$
(3)

### Free radical scavenging activity of nutri bars

Free radical scavenging activity (FRSA) was measured as per the method of 1 g of sample extracted with 5 ml of

Table 2.         Nutritive composition of chia seeds nutri bar								
Treatments	Moisture (%)	Ash (%)	Fat (%)	Protein (%)	Carbohydrate (%)	Crude fibre (%)	Energy (kcal)	
Control	44.13 ± 0.31 <sup>e</sup>	$1.60 \pm 0.02^{e}$	$2.00\pm0.05^{\rm e}$	$2.93 \pm 0.04^{\rm e}$	$49.34\pm0.33^{d}$	$7.87 \pm 0.13^{e}$	$227.08 \pm 0.64^{e}$	
5%	$41.93 \pm 0.15^{d}$	$1.80 \pm 0.06^{d}$	$2.50\pm0.13^{\text{d}}$	$3.25\pm0.09^{\text{d}}$	$50.52 \pm 0.09^{bc}$	$9.23\pm0.08^{\text{d}}$	$237.58\pm0.78^{\text{d}}$	
10%	$39.77 \pm 0.15^{\circ}$	$2.00\pm0.04^{\rm c}$	$3.52 \pm 0.19^{\circ}$	$3.72 \pm 0.11^{\circ}$	$51.00 \pm 0.24^{\circ}$	$10.52 \pm 0.08^{\circ}$	$250.56 \pm 0.63^{\circ}$	
15%	$37.50\pm0.30^{\text{b}}$	$2.60\pm0.02^{\text{b}}$	$4.00\pm0.13^{\text{b}}$	$4.27\pm0.10^{\rm b}$	$51.63 \pm 0.33^{b}$	$12.03 \pm 0.08^{b}$	$259.60 \pm 0.35^{b}$	
20%	$35.63\pm0.21^{a}$	$3.00\pm0.04^{a}$	$4.50\pm0.10^{\rm a}$	$5.06\pm0.04^{a}$	$52.94\pm0.31^{a}$	$13.28\pm0.08^a$	$272.50\pm0.30^a$	

Values (mean  $\pm$  standard deviation) represented with different small superscript are significantly different ( $P \le 0.05$ ) in a column.

80% methanol. The mixture was than centrifuged at 1000 rpm for 15 min and the supernatant was collected. DPPH (2,2-diphenyle-1-picrylhydrazyl) was used as a source of free radical. A quantity of 3.9 ml of the  $6 \times 10^{-5}$  mol/l DPPH (ethanolic) was added into the test tube with 0.1 ml of sample extract and the decrease in absorbance was measured at 517 nm for 30 min or until the absorbance became steady. Ethanol was used as a blank<sup>17</sup> and the following eq. (5) was used.

Antioxidant activity (%) = 
$$\frac{A_0 - A}{A_0} \times 100$$
, (5)

where  $A_0$  is the absorbance of DPPH as blank and A the absorbance of sample.

#### Organoleptic evaluation of nutri bar

Sensory characteristics of nutri bar samples were evaluated for different sensory attributes by a group of 50 semi-trained panellists (25 males and 25 females of age 20–35 years) using 9 point hedonic scale. Nutri bars were coded randomly from 101 to 106 to avoid any preassumptions. The data regarding sensory panel screening and evaluation were collected to eliminate errors in the process. Sensory attributes included colour and appearance, taste, texture, mouth feel and overall acceptability. The panel members chosen were healthy and free from any diseases. A score card consisting of preference rating from extremely liked to extremely disliked, with the given instructions were given to the panel members for the assessment of their liking and preferences. The freshly prepared nutri bars were served to the panellists in odourless plastic containers with a glass of water for rinsing the mouth in between the samples. The area used for sensory analysis was isolated and aroma free. The sensory panel was trained using commercially available nutri bars containing peanuts, to accustom them to the food type. The scores received while organoleptic evaluation were statistically analysed by  $ANOVA^{18,19}$ .

#### Shelf life analysis

Shelf life analysis was performed on the most acceptable treatment after organoleptic evaluation. Packed nutri bars

tures for the shelf life study (10°C, 25°C and 37°C for 28 days). The samples were withdrawn at an interval of 7 days during storage and analysed for hydroxyl methyl furfural (HMF), thiobarbituric acid test (TBA) and free fatty acid content (FFA) test as per the procedures used by Rasane *et al.*<sup>20</sup>.

fortified with chia seeds were stored at different tempera-

#### Statistical analysis

All the tests were carried out in triplicates and the mean were presented along with standard deviation. The significant difference of mean values and product optimization was performed with Duncan's multiple range test and post hoc test using SPSS 16.0 software (SPSS) Italia, Bolognam, Italy at a significance level of 5%.

#### **Results and discussion**

#### Nutritional analysis

Nutritive composition of nutri bar incorporated by chia seeds is given in Table 2. It was observed that moisture content decreased with the increase in chia seeds composition<sup>21</sup>, owing to low moisture content of chia seeds, increasing the shelf life of the product. There was a gradual increase in the amount of protein concentration as increase in the concentration of chia seeds due to the high amount of protein present in chia seeds (21.52 g/ 100 g)<sup>22</sup>, despite low content of protein in dates (2 g/100 g)<sup>23</sup>.

Similarly, ash content increased with increasing chia seed concentration from the range  $1.60 \pm 0.02$  (control) to  $3.00 \pm 0.04$  (20% incorporation of chia seeds). The increased trend of chia seed nutri bar is due to high mineral content in the chia seeds especially Mg, Ca, Fe, Zn, Mg, Co and Se (ref. 24). Although the fat content of dates is less (0.14 g/100 g)<sup>3</sup>, however, due to the high content of fat in chia seeds (24.83% per 100 g)<sup>22</sup>, the fat of nutri bar ranged from 2.0% to 4.5%. The fibre content varied from 7.87  $\pm$  0.13 to 13.28  $\pm$  0.08 in the nutri bar which shows high fibre content in the product. Chia seeds comprised 56.4 g/100 g of dietary fibre which imparts good water holding capacity and high emulsifying activity<sup>24</sup>. Similar

results were reported by Romankiewicz *et al.*<sup>25</sup>, where they studied the effect of addition of chia seeds on quality and nutritional value of white bread. They observed that the dietary fibre content increases as high as 7.19% with 8% chia seed addition. The energy content correspondingly increased from 227.03 to 272.53 kcal with increasing concentration of chia seed, which shows that chia seeds, have high nutrient density.

#### Mineral analysis

The concentrations of sodium and potassium in chia seeds were 71.9 and 635 mg/100 g respectively, which imparts the properties like lowering of blood pressure and maintaining the electrolytes<sup>26</sup>. Table 3 shows the amount of sodium and potassium present in the nutri bars which are in agreement with the results given by Kibui *et al.*<sup>27</sup>, where they have prepared yoghurt enriched with chia seeds.

#### Physico-chemical properties

The functional properties play a vital role in the manufacturing of the product. The nutri bars were analysed for their functional properties including water absorption and oil absorption capacity (OAC and WAC) as shown in Figure 2. The OAC and WAC depend on the amino acid composition, surface polarity and protein conformation like intrinsic factors<sup>28</sup>. The water absorption capacity increased significantly (P < 0.05), but not much difference was observed in between control and 5% chia seed incorporation and in between 15% and 20% chia seed incorporation. The value ranged from  $0.71 \pm 0.71$  (control) to  $1.67 \pm 0.15$  (20% incorporation) that signifies that nutri bars tend to absorb more water with increase in the concentration of chia seeds. This is due to the high water retention capacity and high fibre content of chia seeds. OAC is a highly essential characteristic for the development of food products and for a longer storage life. The decreasing trend observed for oil absorption capacity of nutri bars were in agreement with the results shown by Haripriya and Aparna<sup>28</sup> where they studied the effect of roasting on chia seed-based instant soup mix.

Table 3. Mineral content of nutri bar

Treatments	Sodium (ppm)	Potassium (ppm)		
Control	$30.33 \pm 0.58^{e}$	$19.33 \pm 0.18^{\rm e}$		
5 %	$36.00 \pm 0.21^{d}$	$30.67 \pm 0.53^{d}$		
10%	$42.33 \pm 0.58^{\circ}$	$37.33 \pm 0.27^{\circ}$		
15%	$51.67 \pm 1.15^{b}$	$43.33 \pm 0.13^{b}$		
20%	$65.33 \pm 0.50^{a}$	$48.00 \pm 0.20^{a}$		

The values are represented in mean  $\pm$  standard deviation derived for triplicate experiments (n = 3). The values denoted with different superscripts differ significantly at P < 0.05 in a column.

#### Free radical scavenging activity of nutri bars

Antioxidant capacity is one that quantifies the ability of complex biological samples to quench DPPH free radicals<sup>29</sup>. The antioxidant activity significantly (P < 0.05) increased by chia seed incorporation is shown in Figure 3. The results were in accordance with Costantini *et al.*<sup>30</sup> in their study of incorporation of chia seed flour in white bread. They reported that tartary buckwheat flour and chia seed incorporation by 10% increased the antioxidant activity by 75% in the prepared bread.

#### Organoleptic evaluation of nutri bar

Sensory acceptance of chia seed nutri bars were determined by the changes in terms of colour and appearance, taste, aroma, texture, mouthfeel and overall acceptability. Highest values were observed for the 10% incorporated chia seed nutri bar and the values reported for colour and appearance, taste, aroma, texture and overall acceptability were  $7.18 \pm 0.76$ ,  $7.24 \pm 0.58$ ,  $8.38 \pm 0.82$ ,  $6.75 \pm 0.43$ 



Figure 2. Water absorption capacity (WAC) and oil absorption capacity (OAC) of chia seeds nutri bar.



**Figure 3.** Free radical scavenging activity of chia seeds nutri bar. Values (mean  $\pm$  standard deviation) represented with different small superscript are significantly different ( $P \le 0.05$ ).

# **RESEARCH ARTICLES**

Table 4.         Shelf life analysis of nutri bar							
Parameters	Temperature (°C)	Packaging material used	0th day	7th day	15th day	21st day	28th day
Moisture (%)	10	LDPE pouches Cardboard boxes	$\begin{array}{c} 35.45 \pm 0.24^{a} \\ 35.14 \pm 0.12^{a} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 36.93 \pm 0.71^{b} \\ 36.33 \pm 0.73^{b} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 38.25 \pm 0.21^{c} \\ 37.55 \pm 0.67^{c} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 39.89 \pm 0.48^{d} \\ 39.84 \pm 0.12^{d} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 40.13 \pm 0.63^{d} \\ 41.49 \pm 0.36^{e} \end{array}$
	25	LDPE pouches Cardboard boxes	$\begin{array}{c} 39.77 \pm 0.62^a \\ 39.20 \pm 0.61^a \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 40.39 \pm 0.37^a \\ 40.89 \pm 0.47^b \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 42.79 \pm 0.69^{b} \\ 41.22 \pm 0.33^{c} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 45.15 \pm 0.77^c \\ 42.47 \pm 0.54^d \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 45.98 \pm 0.87^{e} \\ 46.89 \pm 0.17^{c} \end{array}$
	37	LDPE pouches Cardboard boxes	$\begin{array}{c} 37.27 \pm 0.15^a \\ 38.13 \pm 0.54^a \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 39.44 \pm 0.67^b \\ 38.93 \pm 0.14^a \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 41.83 \pm 0.33^{c} \\ 40.13 \pm 0.37^{b} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 42.15 \pm 0.31^{c} \\ 41.87 \pm 0.94^{c} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 43.19 \pm 0.34^{d} \\ 44.65 \pm 0.92^{d} \end{array}$
Hydroxyl methyl furfural (HMF; µmol/g)	10	LDPE pouches Cardboard boxes	$\begin{array}{c} 4.91 \pm 0.09^{e} \\ 4.37 \pm 0.22^{a} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 8.20 \pm 0.11^{d} \\ 6.40 \pm 0.14^{b} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 10.60 \pm 0.09^{\rm f} \\ 8.44 \pm 0.27^{\rm c} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 13.22 \pm 0.04^c \\ 11.23 \pm 0.16^d \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 14.86 \pm 0.04^{c} \\ 14.75 \pm 0.24^{e} \end{array}$
	25	LDPE pouches Cardboard boxes	$\begin{array}{c} 3.12 \pm 0.06^{c} \\ 4.32 \pm 0.14^{d} \end{array}$	$7.06 \pm 0.11^{\circ}$ $8.73 \pm 0.09^{\circ}$	$\begin{array}{c} 11.43 \pm 0.02^{d} \\ 12.47 \pm 0.02^{e} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 15.60 \pm 0.07^{b} \\ 15.82 \pm 0.04^{b} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 19.79 \pm 0.15^{ab} \\ 19.19 \pm 0.09^{a} \end{array}$
	37	LDPE pouches Cardboard boxes	$\begin{array}{c} 2.59 \pm 0.09^a \\ 1.86 \pm 0.14^b \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 6.53 \pm 0.04^{b} \\ 5.82 \pm 0.68^{a} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 10.73 \pm 0.02^{c} \\ 10.20 \pm 0.08^{a} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 14.98 \pm 0.13^{d} \\ 15.49 \pm 0.90^{b} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 19.24 \pm 0.52^{e} \\ 20.84 \pm 1.83^{b} \end{array}$
Thiobarbituric acid test (TBA) (mg malenaldehyde/kg)	10	LDPE pouches Cardboard boxes	$\begin{array}{l} 4.10 \pm 0.01^{a} \\ 4.37 \pm 0.52^{a} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 7.61 \pm 0.11^{b} \\ 6.40 \pm 0.14^{b} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 11.12 \pm 0.21^{c} \\ 8.44 \pm 0.27^{c} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 13.40 \pm 0.05^{d} \\ 11.23 \pm 0.37^{d} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 14.54 \pm 0.11^{e} \\ 15.23 \pm 0.16^{e} \end{array}$
	25	LDPE pouches Cardboard boxes	$\begin{array}{c} 1.74 \pm 0.41^{a} \\ 1.36 \pm 0.00^{a} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3.64 \pm 0.32^{\rm b} \\ 5.74 \pm 0.05^{\rm b} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 5.53 \pm 0.23^a \\ 10.12 \pm 0.10^d \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 7.40 \pm 0.12^a \\ 12.79 \pm 0.02^d \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 9.28 \pm 0.34^{a} \\ 15.38 \pm 0.02^{d} \end{array}$
	37	LDPE pouches Cardboard boxes	$\begin{array}{c} 1.80 \pm 0.12^{a} \\ 0.44 \pm 0.32^{a} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3.69 \pm 0.08^b \\ 1.74 \pm 0.62^b \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4.58 \pm 0.65^{c} \\ 4.33 \pm 0.23^{c} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 7.49 \pm 0.41^{d} \\ 5.75 \pm 0.17^{d} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 10.33 \pm 0.33^{e} \\ 9.33 \pm 0.67^{e} \end{array}$
Free fatty acid (FFA) (% oleic acid)	10	LDPE pouches Cardboard boxes	$\begin{array}{c} 1.35 \pm 0.17^{a} \\ 1.12 \pm 0.03^{a} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.42 \pm 0.23^{b} \\ 1.42 \pm 0.14^{b} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.50 \pm 0.47^{c} \\ 1.57 \pm 0.19^{c} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.72 \pm 0.55^{d} \\ 2.65 \pm 0.63^{d} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.87 \pm 0.79^{e} \\ 2.93 \pm 0.24^{e} \end{array}$
	25	LDPE pouches Cardboard boxes	$\begin{array}{c} 0.97 \pm 0.13^a \\ 0.97 \pm 0.13^a \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.35 \pm 0.39^{b} \\ 1.35 \pm 0.44^{b} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.50 \pm 0.27^{c} \\ 2.43 \pm 0.29^{c} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.80 \pm 0.39^{d} \\ 5.50 \pm 0.63^{d} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.27 \pm 0.43^{e} \\ 6.72 \pm 0.44^{e} \end{array}$
	37	LDPE pouches Cardboard boxes	$\begin{array}{c} 1.35 \pm 0.17^{a} \\ 0.82 \pm 0.13^{a} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.42 \pm 0.23^{b} \\ 1.12 \pm 0.30^{b} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.72 \pm 0.71^{c} \\ 1.27 \pm 0.53^{c} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.80 \pm 0.35^{d} \\ 1.57 \pm 0.20^{d} \end{array}$	$1.94 \pm 0.63^{e}$ $1.80 \pm 0.13^{e}$



Figure 4. Graphical representation of sensory analysis of chia seed nutri bar.

and  $6.35 \pm 0.55$ , respectively as shown in Figure 4. However, the lowest score was observed for 20% incorporation of chia seeds, i.e.  $6.35 \pm 0.55$ . The overall scores increased significantly (P < 0.05) from  $7.18 \pm 0.76$  to  $8.38 \pm 0.82$  in case of control, 5% and 10% incorporation and started decreasing from 30% to 40% incorporation with the values of  $6.75 \pm 0.43$  and  $6.35 \pm 0.55$  respectively. These results are close to that obtained from Zaki<sup>31</sup> who studied the effect of adding chia seeds in camburger. He reported that 3% chia seed addition in the camburger received the highest scores compared to other samples.

#### Shelf life evaluation

Food packaging has a vital role in analysing the shelf life of foods as they inhibit the entrance of oxygen and loss and gain of moisture in foods<sup>32</sup> which shows that selection of suitable packaging material is important to ensure the better keeping quality of the product during storage to prevent oxidation of lipids. Lipid oxidation and browning are the important phenomenon occurring in food systems that depicts the food deterioration during storage and processing. In the present study, the lipid oxidation of optimized nutri chia seed bars was studied by tracking the changes that took place in free fatty acids (FFA), Thiobarbituric acid (TBA) and browning was studied by analysing hydroxyl methyl furfuryl (HMF) in the most acceptable treatment, i.e. 10% chia seed incorporated nutri bar on the basis of organoleptic evaluation. The result shown in Table 4, stated the change in moisture content, HMF, TBA and FFA values after 28 days of storage for 10% added chia seed nutri bar. The moisture content increased significantly at all the temperatures in a span of 28 days in both ziplock pouches and cardboard boxes. The highest moisture content of  $46.89 \pm 0.17$  was observed in the sample stored in cardboard boxes at 25°C after the 28th day of storage. The results were in accordance with Padamashree et al.33 who developed choco quinoa nutri bar and observed significant increase (P < 0.05) in moisture content in the storage period of 9 months. The increase in moisture content (measured by oven drying procedure) of the nutri bar was observed from 7.33 to 8.21, 7.70 and 7.51 packaged in polypropylene, metalized polyester with vaccum and without vaccum respectively.

The HMF is the product formed as an intermediate of the Maillard reaction or caramelization of sugar at high temperature<sup>34</sup>. The HMF values showed significant increase (P < 0.05) in the values at 37°, 25° and 10°C in the nutri bar stored in ziplock pouches as well as cardboard boxes. The highest value of  $20.84 \pm 1.83$  was observed in the samples stored in cardboard boxes at 37°C. Similar result has been reported by Mesias *et al.*<sup>35</sup> in their work on chia seed biscuits. Their results showed a significant increase from 22 mg/kg (0% chia flour) to 71 mg/kg in case of highest chia seed incorporation (20%) in wheat flour biscuits. They suggested the maximum incorporation of chia seed by 10%, as more incorporation beyond this level led to the formation of acrylamide, HMF and furfural.

High TBA values are associated with lipid oxidation due to processing conditions, packaging and storage and also because of high fat content<sup>36</sup>. Similarly, the TBA values increased significantly (P < 0.05) from the 0th to 28th day at different temperatures. The nutri bar stored at 25°C showed that the highest values were recorded at 25°C stored at 13.40 ± 0.05 mg malenaldehyde/kg. The results were in agreement with Scapin *et al.*<sup>37</sup> in their study of effect of chia seed addition in pork sausage. They stated the increase in TBARS value from  $0.61 \pm 0.02$  to  $1.12 \pm 0.03$  in the highest incorporation of chia seed extract (2%) in the storage period of 28 days.

For FFA values, a significant increase (P < 0.05) was observed at all the storage temperatures in both ziplock as well as carboard boxes. The lowest degradation was observed in cardboard boxes stored at 37°C by a value of  $1.80 \pm 0.13$  and the highest was observed in bars stored in cardboard boxes at 25°C by a value of  $6.72 \pm 0.44$ . Ryavanki and Hemalatha<sup>38</sup> showed a similar trend in the shelf life study of low glycemic index snack bar prepared from red sorghum flakes. They reported significant increase in the free fatty acid (oleic acid) values from  $1.36 \pm 0.42\%$  to  $6.16 \pm 0.45\%$  at high temperature, and at ambient temperature the values ranged from  $1.36 \pm$ 0.42% to  $2.37 \pm 0.25\%$ .

#### Conclusion

The study demonstrated that date and chia seeds can be used to formulate chia-date bar which improves protein, carbohydrate and crude fibre content. The developed bar was assessed properties for nutritional quality, sensory attributes, physicochemical and shelf life analysis. The optimized product 10% (dates 90 g and chia seed flour 10 g) revealed that the nutritional content of the nutri bar had protein content (3.72%), crude fibre content (10.52%), potassium (37.33 ppm), ash content (2.0%), crude fat (3.52%) and antioxidant  $(32.23 \pm 0.69\%)$ . The organoleptic evaluation results showed the highest scores of  $8.38 \pm 0.82$  for 10% chia seed flour incorporation in the nutri bar which was further carried for shelf life analysis. The results of shelf life analysis showed the significant degradation in the span of 28 days by significant increase (P < 0.05) in moisture, TBA, HMF and FFA values at different temperatures and packaging material. The suitable temperature for the storage of nutri bar is 10°C in LDPE pouches.

- Segura, C. M. R., Ciau, S. N., Rosado, R. G. and Chel, G. L., Chemical and functional properties of chia seed (*Salvia hispanica* L.) gum. *Int. J. Food Sci.*, 2014, 2014, 1–5.
- Mohd Ali, N. and Yeap, S. K., The promising future of chia, Salvia hispanica L. J. Biomed. Biotechnol., 2012, 2012, 1–9.
- Reyes, C. E., Tecante, A. and Valdivia, L. M. A., Dietary fibre content and antioxidant activity of phenolic compounds present in

Capitani, M. I., Spotorno, V., Nolasco, S. M. and Tomás, M. C., Physicochemical and functional characterization of by-products from chia (*Salvia hispanica* L.) seeds of Argentina. *LWT Food Sci. Technol.*, 2012, **45**(1), 94–102.

Ixtaina, V. Y., Martínez, M. L. and Spotorno, V., Characterization of chia seed oils obtained by pressing and solvent extraction. *J. Food Compost. Anal.*, 2011, 24(2), 166–174.

Ali, A., Waly, M., Essa, M. M. and Devarajan, S., Nutritional and medicinal value of date fruit. In *Dates, Production, Processing, Food and Medicinal Values* (eds Manickavasagan, A., Mohamed Essa, M. and Sukumar, E.), Boca Raton (FL), 2012.

Mexican chia (Salvia hispanica L.) seeds. Food Chem., 2008, 107(2), 656–663.

- Ayerza, R. H. and Coates, W., Composition of chia (Salvia hispanica) grown in six tropical and subtropical ecosystems of South America. Trop. Sci., 2004, 44(3), 131–135.
- Mody, S. K., Cadbury Adams USA Llc, Nutritional food bar for sustained energy. US Patent, 2004, 6, 676982.
- Ateequddin, M. S. M. and Ingle, M. P., Physicochemical evaluation of flaxseed-date. *Bar. Int. J. Eng. Res. Appl.*, 2015, 5(9), 54–57.
- Giri, N. A. and Mridula, D., Development of energy bar utilizing potato extrudates. *Asian J. Dairy Food Res.*, 2016, **35**(3), 241– 246.
- Williams, G., Noakes, M. and Keogh, J., High protein high fibre snack bars reduce food intake and improve short term glucose and insulin profiles compared with high fat snack bars. *Asia Pac. J. Clin. Nutr.*, 2006, **15**(4), 443–450.
- 12. AOAC, Official Methods of Analysis, Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Washington, 2011.
- Jan, K., Jairajpuri, D. S. and Shumaila, J., Preparation of nutri bar for lactating women. J. Environ. Sci. Toxicol. Food Technol., 2012, 1(5), 10–14.
- Zahra, S. M., Nadeem, M. S. and Hussain, T. M., Development and evaluation of nutri-bars for internally displaced people in humanitarian emergencies. *J. Agric. Res.*, 2014, **52**(2), 217– 226.
- Ranganna, S., Handbook of Analysis and Quality Control for Fruits and Vegetable Products, Tata McGraw Hill Publishing, New Delhi, 2000.
- Singh, J., Kaur, S. and Rasane, P., Evaluation of the nutritional and quality characteristics of black carrot fortified instant noodles. *Curr. Nutr. Food Sci.*, 2018, 14, 1–8.
- Rasane, P., Jha, A. and Kumar, A., Reduction in phytic acid content and enhancement of antioxidant properties of nutricereals by processing for developing a fermented baby food. *J. Food Sci.*, 2014, **52**, 3219–3234.
- Priyadarshani, A. and Ramaswamy, L., Formulation of zinc rich coconut nutri bar designed for athletes. *CORD Int. J. Coconut Res. Develop.*, 2015, 31(1), 7–12.
- Sneha, S. and Vijayakumar, P., Organoleptic evaluation of buckwheat (*Fagopyrum esculentum*) bar. *Int. J. Eng. Sci. Res.*, 2019, 7(2), 23–30.
- Rasane, P., Jha, A. and Kaur, S., Chemical kinetic modelling of nutricereal based fermented baby food for shelf life prediction. *Curr. Nutr. Food Sci.*, 2018, 14, 1–4.
- 21. Falco B de, Amato, M. and Virginia, L., Chia seeds products: an overview. *Phytochem. Rev.*, 2017, **16**, 745–760.
- Many, J. N. and Sarasvathi, V., Analysis of chia seed physicochemical and proximate analysis. *Res. J. Recent Sci.*, 2016, 5(8), 39–41.
- Parvin, S., Easmin, D. and Sheikh, A., Nutritional analysis of date fruits (*Phoenix dactylifera* L.) in perspective of Bangladesh. *Am. J. Life Sci.*, 2015, 3(4), 274–278.
- Ding, Y., Lin, H. W. and Lin, Y. L., Nutritional composition in the chia seed and its processing properties on restructured ham-like products. J. Food Drug Anal., 2018, 26, 124–134.

- Romankiewicz, D., Hassoon, W. H. and Pietrzak, G. C., The effect of chia seeds (*Salvia hispanica* L.) addition on quality and nutritional value of wheat bread. *J. Food Qual.*, 2017, **2017**, 1–7.
- Barreto, A. D., Gutierrez, M. R. E. and Silva, M. R., Characterization and bioaccessibility of minerals in seeds of in *Salvia hispanica* L. *Am. J. Plant Sci.*, 2016, 7, 2323–2337.
- Kibui, A. N., Owaga, E. and Mburu, M., Proximate composition and nutritional characterization of chia enriched yoghurt. *Afr. J. Food Agric. Nutr. Dev.*, 2018, 18(1), 13239–13253.
- Haripriya, A. and Aparna, N. V., Effect of roasting on selected nutrient profile and functional properties of chia seeds (*Salvia hispanica*) and optimization of chia seed based instant soup mix. *Int. J. Food Sci. Nutr.*, 2018, **3**(2), 200–206.
- 29. Costantini, L., Luksic, R. and Molinari, R., Development of gluten-free bread using tartary buckwheat and chia flour rich in flavonoids and omega-3 fatty acids as ingredients. *Food Chem.*, 2014, **162**, 232–240.
- Coelho, M. S. and Mellado, M. M. S., Chemical characterization of CHIA (*Salvia hispanica* L.) for use in food products. *Food Nutr. Res.*, 2014, 2(5), 263–269.
- Zaki, E. F., Impact of adding chia seeds (*Salvia hispanica*) on the quality properties of camel burger 'Camburger' during cold storage. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci.*, 2018, 7(3), 1356–1363.
- Khan, M. A., Semwal, A. D., Sharma, G. K., Yadav, D. N. and Srihari, K. A., Studies on the development and shelf stability of groundnut (*Arachis hypogea*) Burfi. J. Food Qual., 2008, 31(6), 612–626.
- Padmashree, A., Negi, N., Haridas, S., Govindaraj, T., Kumar, K. R. A., Semwal, A. D. and Sharma, G. K., Development and quality evaluation of choco quinoa nutria bar during storage. *Food Nutr. Sci.*, 2018, 9, 899–914.
- Morales, F. J., Hydroxymethylfurfural (HMF) and related compounds. In *Process-induced Food Toxicants: Occurrence, Formation, Mitigation and Health Risks* (eds Stadler, R. H. and Lineback, D. R.), John Wiley, Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2008, pp. 135– 174.
- Mesias, M., Holgado, F. and Ruiz, G. M., Risk/benefit considerations of a new formulation of wheat-based biscuit supplemented with different amounts of chia flour. *LWT Food. Sci. Technol.*, 2016, 73, 528–535.
- Busatta, C., Caracterização química e atividade antimicrobiana in vitro em alimentos dos extratos de orégano e manjerona. Universidade Regional Integrada, M Sc thesis, 2010.
- Scapin, G., Schimdt, M. M. and Prestes, R. C., Effect of extract of chia seed (*Salvia hispanica*) as an antioxidant in fresh pork sausage. *Int. Food. Res. J.*, 2015, 22(3), 1195–1202.
- Ryavanki, S. and Hemalatha, S., Accelerated shelf life study of AKJ-1 (*Atharga kempu jola*) red sorghum flakes based low glycemic index snack bar. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci.*, 2018, 7(3), 275–282.

Received 12 September 2019; revised accepted 2 January 2020

doi: 10.18520/cs/v118/i9/1394-1400