Occurrence of white gelatinous foam on the beaches of Kollam (southwest coast of India) due to the senescent bloom of *Phaeocystis globosa*

N. V. Madhu*, P. Anil, C. R. Vishal, K. R. Muraleedharan, A. Parvathi, T. Gireesh Kumar, K. S. Arya and S. Hafza

CSIR-National Institute of Oceanography, Regional Centre, Kochi 682 018, India

An unusual white gelatinous foam (~2 ft high) was detected on the beaches of Kollam (Mundakkal), Kerala, southwest coast of India. It extended to ~300 m alongshore on 10 June 2019 from 7 am and sustained till around 10 am. CSIR-National Institute of Oceanography, Regional Centre, Kochi conducted a field survey and water sampling in the foam-affected coastal region on 11 June 2019 to identify the scientific cause behind this unusual foam formation. Analytical results of the study showed enhanced inorganic nutrients and dissolved forms of organic matter, carbon and nitrogen. The proliferation of a marine prymnesiophyte, Phaeocystis globosa Scherffel (>320 × 10³ cells l⁻¹) in its diploid flagellate stage was detected in the samples along with a higher abundance of pro-karyotes (>1.2 × 10⁶ VLPs ml⁻¹) and viruses (>4 × 10^7 VLPs ml⁻¹). The study revealed that this foam event in Kollam beach area was merely the biogeochemical manifestation of the senescent bloom of P. globosa. Furthermore, since the foam formation due to blooming of P. globosa is the rarest coastal event in tropical marine waters, the study in the Indian waters (Kollam beach) is highly significant considering its potential impact on the marine ecosystems.

Keywords: Algal bloom, coastal water, gelatinous foam, phytoplankton, *Phaeocystis globosa*.

THE coastal marine waters are highly prone to the occurrence of various disastrous algal blooms (called as harmful algal blooms or HABs) of diverse phytoplankton functional groups (PFGs). Among these, diatoms and dinoflagellates are the most susceptible blooms causing PFGs in the tropical/subtropical waters¹. However, frequency in the occurrence of HABs of high-latitude species has been increasing in the tropical/subtropical coastal waters as a result of potential impacts of anthropogenic climate change². The frequent blooming of such alien species alters the prevailing food-web structures and ecosystem functions of these coastal areas^{3,4}. Recently (10 June 2019), the occurrence of an unusual white gelatinous foam of ~2 ft height (extended ~300 m alongshore) was reported on the beaches of Kollam (Mundakkal), Kerala, India⁵. It appeared early morning at 7 am and persisted till 10 am. Later, it got dissipated from the beach due to heavy rainfall. The local residents intimated that there were no health issues due to this foam event.

A scientific team from CSIR-National Institute of Oceanography (NIO), Regional Centre, Kochi visited the coastal region on 11 June 2019 and carried out sampling and measurements from two locations (S1 - 8°52'08.55"N and $S2 - 76^{\circ}35'52.59''E$), where the foam was seen (Figure 1). At the time of water sampling, the shore experienced high winds (>9 ms⁻¹) and waves (~2 m), and witnessed the formation of white foam from the waves. Nevertheless, this white foam was not as thick as the gelatinous foam seen on the previous day (Figure 2). Moreover, faint yellowish foam-like patches were also detected in the inshore waters off the shore. Temperature, salinity, pH, dissolved oxygen (DO), inorganic nutrients⁶, dissolved forms of organic matter (DOM), carbon (DOC) and nitrogen (DON)⁷, phytoplankton pigments⁸ and species abundance and composition⁹, bacterial and viral abundances were determined using oceanographic techniques and protocols¹⁰. For the scanning electron microscope (SEM)-based photomicrographs, the phytoplankton sample was initially rinsed with distilled water and then dehydrated with a graded ethanol series. Subsequently,



Figure 1. Study area (Kollam (Mundakkal), Keralal, India, foam-affected region is marked by white bubbles) and sampling locations (red circles – S1 and S2).



Figure 2. White gelatinous foam layers on the beaches of Kollam on 10 June 2019.

^{*}For correspondence. (e-mail: nmadhu@nio.org)

CURRENT SCIENCE, VOL. 119, NO. 8, 25 OCTOBER 2020

RESEARCH COMMUNICATIONS

the dried cell specimens were mounted on a stub and examined using a SEM (model no. TESCAN VEGA3 SBH) for image processing. The wind speed (m/s) and wave height (m) data were retrieved from the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) (<u>https://apps.ecmwf.int/datasets/data/interimfull-daily</u>), and sea surface temperature (SST) was taken from NOAA Coast Watch-Ocean Watch (<u>https://cwcgom.</u> <u>aoml.noaa.gov</u>).

The satellite-derived data (daily mean) showed relatively higher wind speed (9.23 m s⁻¹) and waves (>3 m) all along the coast of Kollam on 10 June 2019, together with a marked decrease in SST (<29.5°C) compared to the previous day (Figure 3). The salinity (34.3), pH (<8) and DO (<4.5 ml/l) of the water samples collected on 11 June 2019 showed typical hydro-chemical feature of tropical near-shore waters (Table 1). However, the inorganic nutrients such as ammonium (5.75 and 1.64 μ M), nitrite (0.7 and 0.7 μ M), nitrate (4.83 and 4.17 μ M), phosphate (1.42 and 1.7 μ M) and silicate (63.16 and 21.25 μ M) were strikingly higher at sampling locations S1 and S2 respectively. Likewise, enhanced levels of DOM (338.85 and 361.04 µM), DOC (188.25 and 200.58 µM) and DON (59.2 and 66.91 μ M) were detected in the water samples at sampling locations S1 and S2 respectively.



Figure 3. Mean (daily) wind speed (ms⁻¹), wave height (m) (<u>https://apps.ecmwf.int/datasets/data/interim-full-daily</u>) and sea surface temperature (SST; °C) (<u>https://apps.ecmwf.int/datasets/data/interim-full-daily</u>) in the coastal waters of Kollam during June 2019.

The prokaryotic abundance was relatively low (S1- 1.35×10^6 and $S2 - 1.29 \times 10^6$ cells ml⁻¹) compared to viral abundance $(S1 - 4.68 \times 10^7)$ and $S2 - 4.01 \times 10^7$ 10^7 VLPs ml⁻¹). Chlorophyll *a*, the proxy of phytoplankton biomass, was noticeably higher at S1 (10.21 mg m^{-3}) and S2 (7.23 mg m⁻³). The phytoplankton marker pigments showed a distinct dominance of fucoxanthin (S1 -2.29 and S2 – 1.89 mg m^{-3}) over other pigments such as zeaxanthin, chlorophyll c2, beta carotene, etc. (Table 1). The phytoplankton abundance was substantially higher at S1 $(607.16 \times 10^3 \text{ cells/l})$ and S2 $(349 \times 10^3 \text{ cells/l})$. The light microscopic (LM, inverted binocular, Leica DMi8) analysis of phytoplankton samples showed an aggregation (40-50 µm long) of randomly distributed oblong (slightly)-shaped structures, comprising ~20-50 solitary cells (Figure 4 *a*). The length and breadth of each of these cells was \sim 5–6 and 2–3 µm respectively. The SEM

Table 1. Major chemical and biological parameters from the nearshore waters of Kollam (Mundakkal), Kerala, India, on 11 June 2019

	Sampling location	
Parameters	S1	S2
Chemical		
Salinity	34.3	34.3
pH	7.38	7.91
Dissolved oxygen (ml/l)	4.43	4.2
Ammonia (µM)	5.75	1.64
Nitrite (µM)	0.07	0.07
Nitrate (µM)	4.83	4.17
Phosphate (µM)	1.42	1.7
Silicate (µM)	63.16	21.25
Dissolved organic matter (µM)	338.85	361.04
Dissolved organic carbon (µM)	188.25	200.58
Dissolved organic nitrogen (µM)	59.26	66.91
Biological		
Chlorophyll $a (mg m^{-3})$	10.21	7.23
Chlorophyll $c3 (\text{mg m}^{-3})$	0.67	0.61
Chlorophyll $c2 (\text{mg m}^{-3})$	0.37	0.22
B-Carotene (mg m ⁻³)	0.45	0.23
Fucoxanthin (mg m ⁻³)	2.29	1.89
Diadinoxanthin (mg m ⁻³)	0.19	0.15
19' Butanoyloxyfucoxanthin (mg m ⁻³)	0.09	0.05
19' Hexanoyloxyfucoxanthin (mg m ⁻³)	0.03	0.02
Zeaxanthin (mg m ⁻³)	0.94	0.34
Phytoplankton abundance	607.16	349
$(no. \times 10^3 \text{ cells } l^{-1})$		
Rhizosolenia sp.	-	2.5
Ceratulina pelagica	5	-
Guinardia striata	5	-
Surirella fastuosa	5	-
Navicula sp.	0.01	5
Entomoneis sp.	0.03	2.5
Pleurosigma sp.	0.16	5
Nitzschia sp.	5	-
Pseudonitzschia sp.	15	5
Cylindrotheca closterium	5	5
Phaeocystis globosa*	527	324
Viral abundance (no. $\times 10^7$ VLPs ml ⁻¹)	4.68	4.01
Prokaryotes (no. $\times 10^6$ VLPs ml ⁻¹)	1.35	1.29

*Bloom species.

RESEARCH COMMUNICATIONS



Figure 4. *a*, Light microscopic photomicrograph of aggregations of *Phaeocystis globosa* – diploid flagellate cell type. *b*, Scanning electron microscope photomicrograph of diploid flagellate type.



Figure 5. Distribution of mean (daily) sea surface temperature (SST; °C) in the eastern Arabian Sea during the onset of the southwest monsoon (May/June 2019; <u>https://cwcgom.aoml.noaa.gov</u>).

photomicrograph of the solitary cell was much clearer than the LM image for characterizing the morphological features (Figure 4 *b*). The cells were more or less oblong in shape and also a hazy grove-like impression was visible in the middle of the cells (Figure 4 *b*). The morphological features of this cellular entity showed similarities with the original description of the marine prymnesiophyte, *Phaeocystis globosa* Scherffel described by Scherffel¹¹, Kornmann¹² and Rousseau *et al.*¹³. There are four distinct cell types in the life cycle of *P. globosa*, i.e. two types of haploid flagellates (3–6 µm, seen before colony formation), a diploid colonial cell (4–8 µm, embedded in the mucilaginous colony) and a large diploid flagellate (4–9 µm, seen after colony disruption)¹³. Since the *P. globosa* cells were detected from the near-shore waters of Kollam during the decaying phase of the bloom (i.e. after disruption of the colony), the cell type could be of a diploid flagellate¹³. However, the two flagellae and the haptonema, the characteristic cellular structure of the diploid cell type of *P. globosa*, could not been distinguished properly in the SEM image because of the lack of clarity (Figure 4 b). Although while considering the morphological features of the bloom species as well as the physical characteristics of the foam, it could be categorically assumed that the cellular aggregation encountered in the foam-affected near-shore waters of Kollam was the diploid flagellate cell type of *P. globosa* Scherffel¹³. The total phytoplankton abundance comprised of the exclusive aggregations (>80%) of these nanoplanktonic diploid flagellates of *P. globosa* (Table 1).

RESEARCH COMMUNICATIONS

Although the genus Phaeocystis has a worldwide distribution as diverse species, their blooming is mostly restricted in high-latitude seas13,14. Occurrences of Phaeocystis blooms have been reported from tropical waters as well^{4,14}. Usually, *Phaeocystis* species lead a polymorphic life, alternating between free-living solitary vegetative cells (\sim 3–9 µm) and spherical (mostly), giant gelatinous colonies (up to 5 mm diameter) comprised of >10,000 cells¹⁴. The balloon-like colonies produce significant amounts of DOM, rich in glucan and mucopolysaccharides, in which the cells are randomly distributed¹⁴. During the waning phase of the bloom, Phaeocystis colonies undergo disruption and release mucus, which ultimately enhances the mucilaginous condition of the bloom-affected waters which would eventually cause foam formation¹⁵. In fact, these white gelatinous foams usually lead to shellfish mortality, production of dimethyl sulphide, and at times are a nuisance to shore-based fishing activities^{14,16}. Nutrient stress, especially PO₄ or NO₃ limitation, is considered as one of the major causes of the collapse of *P. globosa* colonies¹⁶. As far as the marker pigments are concerned, Phaeocystis blooms (particularly P. pouchetii and P. antartica) contain two derivatives of fucoxanthin, i.e. 19' hexanoyloxyfucoxanthin and 19' butanoyloxyfucoxanthin¹⁷. However, the natural P. globosa blooms do not contain these two marker pigments in higher levels; instead, they contain moderate amounts of chlorophyll c3 and fucoxanthin¹⁷. The detection of the relative dominance of fucoxanthin and chlorophyll c3 over other marker pigments from S1 and S2 indicates the natural occurrence of P. globosa bloom (Table 1).

Like prokaryotes, viruses have also been recognized as one of the significant members of the marine microbial food web¹⁸. They infect not only the prokaryotes in the marine ecosystems, but the whole phytoplankton community as well¹⁸. In *Phaeocystis* cells, viral infection normally induces nutrient remineralization, stimulating bacterial activity and subsequently forces the food web towards a more regenerative system via the microbial loop^{3,18}. The viral lysis of *Phaeocystis* thus enhances release of climatically active organic sulphur compounds (dimethyl sulphoniopropionate), the precursor of dimethyl sulphide¹⁶. The significant increase of viral count $(>4 \times 10^7 \text{ VLPs ml}^{-1})$ observed at S1 and S2, compared to a previous study¹⁹, indicates that there could be putative viruses specific to P. globosa, and this may be one of the reasons for the termination of P. globosa bloom in the coastal waters of Kollam¹⁸.

The present study revealed that the foam event reported from Mundakkal beach, Kollam on 10 June 2019 was due to the post-bloom biogeochemical manifestations of P. *globosa*⁵. The senescent bloom of P. *globosa* observed in the near-shore waters of Kollam may have profusely proliferated a few days before the reported foam event⁵. Later on, gelatinous foams might have evolved from the decayed bloom waters due to prevailing wind action and subsequently might have been transported to the beach area. In fact, reports of P. globosa blooms in low-latitude seas are limited and mostly restricted to low-latitude upwelling regimes⁴. As far as Indian waters are concerned, P. globosa blooms have not been reported elsewhere, except in the open waters of the Arabian Sea²⁰. Although the southwest coast of India is recognized as one of the major upwelling zones in the eastern Arabian Sea, the proliferation of *P. globosa* in connection with the upwelling process has not been reported. The physical signs of upwelling, in general, on the southwest coast of India could be observed since the second half of May 2019 (ref. 21). However, its chemical and biological indications were visible only after June 2019 (ref. 21). Indeed, the present foam event occurred during the onset of the southwest monsoon (beginning of June). The satellite SST-derived data showed a gradual surface water cooling (<29.5°C) in this region since May end (Figure 5). However, this marginal cooling was not enough to substantiate the occurrence of coastal upwelling in the near-shore waters of Kollam during the foam event, since the sampling locations are very close to the beach. Even then, based on these verifications, we assume that a bloom of P. globosa might have developed in the coastal waters of Kollam due to nutrient enrichments enabled by either coastal upwelling or prevailing water column turbulence prior to the pre-monsoonal (May end to June beginning in 2019) wind stress and associated rainfall. Due to the socio-ecological menace created by the extensive P. globosa blooms, urgent measures should be taken up to mitigate the large-scale spreading of this HAB species in the Indian coastal waters.

- Smayda, T. J., Harmful algal blooms: their ecophysiology and general relevance to phytoplankton blooms in the sea. *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 1997, 42, 1137–1153.
- Hallegraeff, G. M., Ocean climate change, phytoplankton community responses, and harmful algal blooms: a formidable predictive challenge. J. Phycol., 2010, 46, 220–235.
- 3. Azam, F. *et al.*, The ecological role of water-column microbes in the sea. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, 1983. **10**, 257–263.
- Doan, N. H., Nguyen, N. L. and Dippner, J. W., Development of *Phaeocystis globosa* blooms in the upwelling waters of the South-Central coast of Viet Nam. J. Mar. Syst., 2010, 83, 253–261.
- 5. Mathrubhumi Daily, Kollam edition, 11 June 2019.
- Grasshoff, K., Ehrhardt, M. and Kremling, K. (eds), *Methods of* Seawater Analysis, Verlag Chemie, Weinhein, Germany, 1983, 2nd edn.
- Sharp, J. H. *et al.*, Analyses of dissolved organic carbon in seawater: the JGOFS EqPac methods comparison. *Mar. Chem.*, 1995, 48(2), 91–108.
- Heukelem, V., HPLC phytoplankton pigments: sampling, laboratory methods, and quality assurance procedures. In *Ocean Optics Protocols for Satellite Ocean Color Sensor*, NASA Technical Memorandum, 2002, pp. 258–268.
- Thomas, C. R. (ed.), *Identifying Marine Phytoplankton*, Academic Press, London, UK, 1997, pp. 634–635.
- Patel, A. *et al.*, Virus and prokaryote enumeration from planktonic aquatic environments by epifluorescence microscopy with SYBR Green I. *Nature Protoc.*, 2007, 2, 269–276.

CURRENT SCIENCE, VOL. 119, NO. 8, 25 OCTOBER 2020

- Scherffel, A., *Phaeocystis globosa*. n. sp. (Vorläufige Mittheilung). *Ber. Dtsch. Bot. Ges.*, 1899, 17, 317–318.
- Kornmann, P., Beobachtungen an *Phaeocystis*-Kulturen. *Helgol.* Wiss. Meeresunters., 1955, 5, 218–233.
- Rousseau, V. *et al.*, Characterization of *Phaeocystis globosa* (Prymnesiophyceae), the blooming species in the southern North Sea. *J. Sea Res.*, 2013, **76**, 105–113.
- Schoemann, V. *et al.*, Phaeocystis blooms in the global ocean and their controlling mechanisms: a review. *J. Sea Res.*, 2005, 53, 43– 66.
- 15. Lancelot, C., The mucilage phenomenon in the continental coastal waters of the North Sea. *Sci. Total Environ.*, 1995, **165**, 83–112.
- Liss, P. S., Malin, G., Turner, S. M. and Holligan, P. M., Dimethyl sulphide and phaeocystis: a review. J. Mar. Syst., 1994, 5, 41–53.
- 17. Antajan, E., Chretiennot-Dinet, M. J., Leblanc, C., Daro, M. H. and Lancelot, C., 19'-Hexanoylfucoxanthin may not be the appropriate pigment to trace occurrence and fate of *Phaeocystis*: the case of *P. globosa* in Belgian coastal waters. *J. Sea Res.*, 2004, **52**, 165–177.
- Brussaard, C. P. D., Bratbak, G., Baudoux, A.-C. and Ruardij, P., *Phaeocystis* and its interaction with viruses. *Biogeochemistry*, 2007, 83, 201–215.

- Parvathi, A. *et al.*, High incidence of lysogeny in the oxygen minimum zones of the Arabian Sea (southwest coast of India). *Viruses*, 2018, 10, 588; doi:10.3390/v10110588.
- 20. Madhupratap, M., Sawant, S. and Gauns, M., A first report on a bloom of the marine prymnesiophycean, *P. globosa*, from the Arabian Sea. *Oceanologica Acta*, 2000, **23**, 83–90.
- 21. Smitha, B. R., Sanjeevan, V. N., Vimalkumar, K. G. and Revichandran, C., On the upwelling off the southern tip and along the west coast of India. *J. Coastal Res.*, 2008, **24**(4C), 95–102.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. We thank Dr P. K. Dinesh Kumar (CSIR-National Institute of Oceanography, Regional Centre, Kochi), for providing the necessary facilities and encouragement.

Received 29 December 2019; revised accepted 25 August 2020

doi: 10.18520/cs/v119/i8/1371-1375